

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,019	07/03/2003	Norikazu Arai	2860.0637-02	5449
22852	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			CHU, KIM KWOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
		10/612,019	ARAI ET AL.	
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kim-Kwok CHU	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication app r Reply	pears n th cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Pre-</u> , This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		e merits is
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	wn from consideration.		
	•			
10) 🔲 🗅	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ A a)∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/487,92</u> ved in this National	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 137-147 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,950,384. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

- 3. With respect to the present claim 137, the '384 patent's claim 4 has every limitation of the present claim 137 except the feature "a photodetector configured to receive a reflected light flux modulated by the information recording data". This is an obvious limitation because an optical pickup such as the '384 patent's inherently has a photodetector so that reflected light containing recorded information can be read (detected).
- 4. With respect to the present claim 138, the '384 patent's claim 2 has every limitation of the present claim 138.
- 5. With respect to the present claim 139, the '384 patent's claim 3 has every limitation of the present claim 139.
- 6. With respect to the present claim 140, the '384 patent's claim 5 has every limitation of the present claim 140.
- 7. With respect to the present claim 141, the '384 patent's claim 6 has every limitation of the present claim 141.

- 8. With respect to the present claims 142, the '384 patent's claim 7 has every limitation of the present claim 142.
- 9. With respect to the present claims 143, the '384 patent's claim 1 (column 117, lines 5-9) has every limitation of the present claim 143.
- 10. With respect to the present claim 144, the '384 patent's claim 1 (column 117, lines 5-9) has every limitation of the present claim 144.
- 11. With respect to the present claim 145, the '384 patent's claim 1, last paragraph, has every limitation of the present claim 145.
- 12. With respect to the present claim 146, the '384 patent's claim 8 has every limitation of the present claim 146.
- 13. With respect to the present claim 147, the '384 patent's claim 1, last paragraph, has every limitation of the present claim 147.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7585 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch, can be reached on (57) 272-7589.

The fax number is:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry. Or:

(571) 273-7585, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9191 (toll free).

Kim-Kwok CHU

Examiner AU2627

(571) 272-7585

June 11, 2006

THANG V. TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER